

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office 'Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/557,149	04/25/2000	Steven J. Yohanan	15-4-296.53	9307	
22801 75	590 08/15/2002				
LEE & HAYES PLLC			EXAMINER		
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201		0	SAX, STEV	SAX, STEVEN PAUL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
-			2174		
			DATE MAILED: 08/15/2002	!	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



·		The state of the s		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Fal	()
Office Action Summary	09/557149		Pohan an	
Onice Action Summary	Examiner Sax		Group Art Unit	
	<u>'</u>		2174	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet i	beneath the co	rrespondence addre	SS
Period for Response	7	_		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	S SET TO EXPIRE	MONTI	H(S) FROM THE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) da If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response w 	ys, a response within the statu default, expire SIX (6) MONTH	tory minimum of the Strom the mailing	irty (30) days will be considuate of this communicatio	lered timely.
Status	()			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	7/12/02			
☐ This action is FINAL.	·			
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1 			the merits is closed i	n
Disposition of Claims				
Of the above claim(s)		is/are p	ending in the applicati	on.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are v	_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
□ Claim(s)				
3-12	is/are r	is/are rejected		
□ Claim(s)				
		ara cul	NACT TO POSTBOTION OF AL	oction
□ Claim(s)		are sul require	pject to restriction or element.	ection
□ Claim(s) Application Papers			•	ection
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav	ving Review, PTO-948.	require	ment.	ection
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ving Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	require	ment.	ection
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	ving Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	require	ment.	ection
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	ving Review, PTO-948. is □ approved lected to by the Examiner.	require	ment.	ection
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	ving Review, PTO-948. is □ approved lected to by the Examiner.	require	ment.	ection
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ving Review, PTO-948 is □ approved lected to by the Examiner.	require	ment.	ection
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are ob □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received.	ving Review, PTO-948 is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a of the priority documents leaves.	require ☐ disapproved -(d). nave been	ment.	ection
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur	ving Review, PTO-948 is approved lected to by the Examiner. viunder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents I	require ☐ disapproved -(d). nave been	ment.	ection
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ving Review, PTO-948 is approved ected to by the Examiner. vunder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents inber) international Bureau (PCT	require disapproved d-(d). have been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	ment.	ection
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur □ received in this national stage application from the second complex contents.	ving Review, PTO-948 is approved ected to by the Examiner. vunder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents inber) international Bureau (PCT	require disapproved d-(d). have been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	ment.	ection
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur □ received in this national stage application from the lateral properties of the copies application from the lateral properties application from the latera	ving Review, PTO-948 is approved ected to by the Examiner. v under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a of the priority documents Inber) international Bureau (PCT	require ☐ disapproved -(d). have been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	ment. d.	ection
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draventh The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ving Review, PTO-948 is approved lected to by the Examiner. vunder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents Inber) International Bureau (PCT	require disapproved d-(d). nave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	ment.	
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur □ received in this national stage application from the lateral properties of the copies application from the lateral properties application from the latera	ving Review, PTO-948 is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a of the priority documents in the priority documents in the priority document in the priority docum	require disapproved d-(d). nave been Rule 1 7.2(a)). Interview Sumr	ment. d.	PTO-152

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Part of Paper No. 19

Application/Control Number: 09/557149

Art Unit: 2175

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

- 1. The RCE and IDS, filed 7/12/02, have been entered.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 3-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cardinal et al (5799318) in view of Doyle (5838906).
- 4. Regarding claim 3, see Cardinal et al: the abstract, Figures 4, 5, 6, column 2 lines 37-64, column 3 lines 55-68 (note how an item may be a document or email message), column 5 lines 34-64, column 6 lines 50-68, column 10 lines 34-65 (note especially the launch icon discussions). This describes a graphical interactive system which accesses a desired document or email address. A desktop icon is displayed, and when selected launches a browser and accesses the document from its storage address. Cardinal et al do not go into the details that a web site is accessed (which accordingly means the web address is accessed), but do mention email and also mention linking a user object to a variety of computer resources of information. Furthermore,

~ 4

Application/Control Number: 09/557149 Page 3

Art Unit: 2173

see Doyle et al: the abstract, Figures 6, 7B (note launching the browser, note the hypermedia link in the document), column 5 lines 32-38 (explicitly the World Wide Web is being accessed), column 6 lines 50-68, column 7 lines 1-7 (again, the launching of the browser, the links), column 9 lines 35-45 (the embedded link) and lines 50-60 (the link accesses over the Internet), column 13 lines 24-31 (the URL address), column 15 lines 62-68 and column 16 lines 1-9 (graphics) This shows how a web address and therefore site is accessed via a link to an object. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to have a website accessed in Cardinal et al, in view of the linking in Doyle et al, because it would provide a convenient computer resource of information to be linked to an object, in a system that links resources of information to objects.

- 4. Regarding claim 4, the browser in Cardinal et al may be a separate application which is then accessed. Note this in Doyle et al as well that a separate specific browser is loaded up (column 3 lines 1-10).
- 5. Regarding claim 5, note that Cardinal et al mentions documents being retrieved. The fact that they are retrieved from a network is obvious in view of the Doyle et al ability to access a website, as described above.

Application/Control Number: 09/557149 Page 4

Art Unit: 2173

- 6. Regarding claims 6-12, these show the same features as above. In addition, note that Cardinal et al show the email feature as discussed above.
- 7. Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

 Applicants focus on claim 3. Applicants mention that the browser of Cardinal et al does not access a website, but do acknowledge a browser. But this point is taken up in the action (paragraph 4A as noted by applicants) in that Doyle et al bring out the website accessing browser. Cardinal et al do in fact have icons that launch files as noted. Regarding Doyle, whether or not the browser is a separate application is not relevant, as the link is accessed via the launched browser. Furthermore, the motivation is proper in that both systems link resources of information to objects, and the web accessing ability of Doyle would be a very common resource of information to conveniently have.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Steve Sax at telephone number (703) 305-9582.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Sax whose telephone number is (703) 305-9582. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid, can be reached on (703) 308-0640.

Art Unit: 2173

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(703) 746-7238

After Final Communication

(703) 746-7239

Official Communication

(703) 746-7420

For Status Inquiries, draft communication

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

STEVEN SAX
PRIMARY EXAMINER